

# Moving Assets to the Cloud: A Game Theoretic Approach Based on Trust

Louai Maghrabi & Eckhard Pfluegel

Wireless Multimedia and Networking Research Group  
Faculty of Science, Engineering & Computing  
School of Computing & Information Systems  
Kingston University

Cybersecurity 2015  
8<sup>th</sup> – 9<sup>th</sup> June

**Kingston  
University**  
London



# Overview

Motivation

Research Contributions

The Game Theoretic Model

Theorem

Examples

Conclusion & Future Research Directions

# Motivation

- ▶ Idea of cloud computing: IT services will be provided as nowadays water & electricity
- ▶ Organisations invest huge resources to protect IT infrastructures rather than assessing risks
- ▶ Risk Assessment frameworks such as OCTAVE, TARA, FAIR, STRIDE & NIST RMF are difficult to apply to a cloud-based environment.
- ▶ Threat and vulnerability analysis is often based on identification of critical assets. (Asset information might not be available)
- ▶ The analysis effectively needs the cooperation of the cloud provider. But we cannot assume that this cooperation can be established.

# Research Contributions

- ▶ We design a game theoretic cloud-based model for assessing risks to critical assets.
- ▶ Establish first model depending on trust degree  $T$  a user has in the cloud provider
- ▶ This solves the issue with a vulnerability  $v$  (exploiting asset  $a$  on the user's system) *shifting* to the cloud (resulting in a shifted vulnerability  $\bar{v}$ )
- ▶ Focus on user-centric model

# The Game Theoretic Model

- ▶ Game  $G = \{U, A, S^u, S^a\}$
- ▶ Players:
  - User U
  - Attacker A
- ▶ Strategies
  - ▶  $S^u$ : User's Strategy
    - $s_c^u$ : Put user's asset on cloud
    - $s_h^u$ : Keep user's asset on user's system
  - ▶  $S^a$ : Attacker's Strategy
    - $s_u^a$ : Attack asset on user's system
    - $s_c^a$ : Attack asset on cloud

# Assumptions on the Cloud

- ▶ Note: The cloud provider is excluded from the game as a player.
- ▶ External attacks are usually unsuccessful, but in the event they are successful, compensation will be given
- ▶ The frequency of internal attacks depends on a parameter  $T$
- ▶ We interpret this as the trust degree in the cloud provider:
  - ▶  $T = 1$ : fully trusted cloud provider
  - ▶  $0 < T < 1$ : partially trusted
  - ▶  $T = 0$ : complete lack of trust

# Cost Functions

- ▶  $C_{damage(v)}^u$  &  $C_{damage(\bar{v})}^u$ : user's damage from an attack on the asset through a vulnerability
- ▶  $C_{fee}^u$ : cloud services subscription fees
- ▶  $C_{defend(v)}^u$ : cost of user's defense
- ▶  $C_{attack(v)}^a$  &  $C_{attack(\bar{v})}^a$ : cost of accessing the asset through a vulnerability
- ▶  $C_{attack(\bar{v})}^a = (1 - T)^{-1} \cdot C_{attack(v)}^a$
- ▶  $C_{damage(\bar{v})}^u = (1 - T) \cdot C_{damage}^u$ \*

# Benefit Functions

- ▶  $B_{attack(v)}^a$ : attacker's benefit from attacking user's  $a$  though  $v$
- ▶  $B_{attack(\bar{v})}^a$ : attacker's benefit from attacking user's  $a$  though  $\bar{v}$
- ▶  $B_{attack(\bar{v})}^a = (1 - T) \cdot B_{attack(v)}^a$



# Utility Matrix

	$S_{user}^a$	$S_{cloud}^a$
$S_{cloud}^u$	$-C_{fee}^u - C_{damage(\bar{v})}^u,$ $-C_{attack(v)}^a$	$-C_{fee}^u - C_{damage(\bar{v})}^u,$ $B_{attack(\bar{v})}^a - C_{attack(\bar{v})}^a$
$S_{in-house}^u$	$-C_{defend(v)}^u - C_{damage(v)}^u,$ $B_{attack(v)}^a - C_{attack(v)}^a$	$0,$ $-C_{attack(\bar{v})}^a,$

# Substitution

- ▶  $C_{attack(\bar{v})}^a = (1 - T)^{-1} \cdot C_{attack(v)}^a$
- ▶  $C_{damage(\bar{v})}^u = (1 - T) \cdot C_{damage^*}^u$
- ▶  $B_{attack(\bar{v})}^a = (1 - T) \cdot B_{attack(v)}^a$

	$S_{user}^a$	$S_{cloud}^a$
$S_{cloud}^u$	$-C_{fee}^u - (1 - T) \cdot C_{damage^*}^u,$ $-C_{attack(v)}^a$	$-C_{fee}^u - (1 - T) \cdot C_{damage^*}^u,$ $(1 - T) \cdot B_{attack(v)}^a - (1 - T)^{-1} \cdot C_{attack(v)}^a$
$S_{in-house}^u$	$-C_{defend(v)}^u - C_{damage(v)}^u,$ $B_{attack(v)}^a - C_{attack(v)}^a$	$0,$ $-(1 - T)^{-1} \cdot C_{attack(v)}^a,$

# Theorem

- ▶ If  $T = 1$  and the following condition is satisfied:

$$C_{defend(v)}^u + C_{damage(v)}^u > C_{fee}^u \quad (1)$$

then the strategy  $S = (s_c^u, s_u^a)$  is a pure Nash equilibrium for  $G$ .

- ▶ If  $T = 0$  and the following condition is satisfied:

$$C_{damage^*}^u > C_{defend(v)}^u + C_{damage(v)}^u - C_{fee}^u \quad (2)$$

then the strategy  $S = (s_h^u, s_u^a)$  is a pure Nash equilibrium for  $G$ .

# Illustration for $T = 1$

$T = 1$	$s_{user}^a$	$s_{cloud}^a$
$s_{cloud}^u$	$-C_{fee}^u,$ $-C_{attack(v)}^a$	$-C_{fee}^u,$ $-\infty$
$s_{in-house}^u$	$-C_{defend(v)}^u - C_{damage(v)}^u,$ $B_{attack(v)}^a - C_{attack(v)}^a$	$0,$ $-\infty,$

If we assume condition (1), then we have a pure Nash equilibrium

# Example

We assume some numerical values for the different cost and benefit functions to obtain the following table:

$T = 0.5$	$s_{user}^a$	$s_{cloud}^a$
$s_{cloud}^u$	-35, -60	-35, -10
$s_{in-house}^u$	-50, 50	0, -100

We then use GAMBIT to calculate the mixed Nash equilibrium and probabilities

- ▶  $P(s_h^u) = 0.25$
- ▶  $P(s_c^u) = 0.75$
- ▶  $P(s_u^a) = 0.7$
- ▶  $P(s_c^a) = 0.3$

# Conclusion & Future Research Directions

- ▶ Devised the first user-centric model using trust degree as a parameter (To our knowledge!)
- ▶ Our model will be extended to
  - ▶ cover several or all assets in order to have a more comprehensive picture of the overall risks
  - ▶ be more realistic by adding more action and players

**Thank you!**